

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,658	05/18/2005	Amanda Proudfoot	ARS-104	9141
23557 SALIWANCH	7590 05/14/2007 IK LLOYD & SALIWA	EXAMINER		
A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION			MERTZ, PREMA MARIA	
PO BOX 1429: GAINESVILL	50 E, FL 32614-2950		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/510,658	PROUDFOOT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Prema M. Mertz	1646		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ol>	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	·		
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 35-56 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 35-56 are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.			
<u> </u>				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyaction is required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗖 Intension	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 22-26 and 31) in the reply filed on 4/30/07 is acknowledged.

Claims 22-34 have been canceled in the amendment filed 4/30/07. New claims 35-56 (4/30/07) are pending and under consideration by the Examiner.

A restriction on new claims 35-56 is set forth below.

- 2. This application is a 371 of PCT/EP03/50097. For applications filed under 371, PCT rules for lack of unity apply.
- 3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Groups 1-5. Claims 35-48, 53, drawn to a human MCP-1 antagonist, a nucleic acid encoding a human MCP-1 antagonist, an expression vector, a host cell and a process of preparing the MCP antagonist, wherein the antagonist is selected from a mutant of MCP-1 with mutations:

- (a) at amino acids 18 and 19;
- (b) at amino acids 18, 19 and 58;
- (c) at amino acids 18, 19 and 66;

(d) at amino acids 18, 19, 58 and 66; and

(e) at amino acids 18, 19 and one or more of the following: 24, 44, 49, 75.

Groups 6-10. Claim 54, drawn to a method of reducing leukocyte migration and activation *in vitro* by contacting leukocytes with a human MCP-1 antagonist selected from a mutant of MCP-1 with mutations:

- (a) at amino acids 18 and 19;
- (b) at amino acids 18, 19 and 58;
- (c) at amino acids 18, 19 and 66;
- (d) at amino acids 18, 19, 58 and 66; and
- (e) at amino acids 18, 19 and one or more of the following: 24, 44, 49, 75.

Groups 11-15. Claims 55-56, drawn to a method of treating a vascular disorder by administering a human MCP-1 antagonist selected from a mutant of MCP-1 with mutations:

- (a) at amino acids 18 and 19;
- (b) at amino acids 18, 19 and 58;
- (c) at amino acids 18, 19 and 66;
- (d) at amino acids 18, 19, 58 and 66; and
- (e) at amino acids 18, 19 and one or more of the following: 24, 44, 49, 75.

Groups 16-20. Claims 55-56, drawn to a method of treating cancer by administering a human MCP-1 antagonist selected from a mutant of MCP-1 with mutations:

Application/Control Number: 10/510,658

Art Unit: 1646

- (a) at amino acids 18 and 19;
- (b) at amino acids 18, 19 and 58;
- (c) at amino acids 18, 19 and 66;
- (d) at amino acids 18, 19, 58 and 66; and
- (e) at amino acids 18, 19 and one or more of the following: 24, 44, 49, 75.

Groups 21-25. Claims 55-56, drawn to a method of treating inflammatory diseases by administering a human MCP-1 antagonist selected from a mutant of MCP-1 with mutations:

- (a) at amino acids 18 and 19;
- (b) at amino acids 18, 19 and 58;
- (c) at amino acids 18, 19 and 66;
- (d) at amino acids 18, 19, 58 and 66; and
- (e) at amino acids 18, 19 and one or more of the following: 24, 44, 49, 75.

Groups 26-30. Claims 55-56, drawn to a method of treating autoimmune diseases by administering a human MCP-1 antagonist selected from a mutant of MCP-1 with mutations:

- (a) at amino acids 18 and 19;
- (b) at amino acids 18, 19 and 58;
- (c) at amino acids 18, 19 and 66;
- (d) at amino acids 18, 19, 58 and 66; and
- (e) at amino acids 18, 19 and one or more of the following: 24, 44, 49, 75.

Groups 31-35. Claims 55-56, drawn to a method of treating infections by administering a human MCP-1 antagonist selected from a mutant of MCP-1 with mutations:

- (a) at amino acids 18 and 19;
- (b) at amino acids 18, 19 and 58;
- (c) at amino acids 18, 19 and 66;
- (d) at amino acids 18, 19, 58 and 66; and
- (e) at amino acids 18, 19 and one or more of the following: 24, 44, 49, 75.

NOTE: Independent claim 35 encompasses thousands of MCP-1 peptide sequences that are not related in structure, and therefore the claim is considered to comprise an improper Markush group. This claim is not a proper linking claim because it, in fact, comprises multitudes of sequences.

Applicants must choose a single MCP-1 peptide for examination. This is not a species election, but an election of a single invention.

The inventions listed as Groups I-35 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because under PCT Rule 13.2 they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons:

Inventions 1-5 and 6-35 are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods in inventions 6-35 are practiced with materially different products which are structurally and chemically different, the novelty of the inventions lying in the products being administered

Art Unit: 1646

and not the processes. The only feature in common in the instant inventions is "a method of reducing leukocyte migration", which does not constitute the special technical feature lacking from the prior art because this method can be practiced with a composition other than the instant products such as with an antibody to eotaxin or an antibody to the colony stimulating factors. Distinctness is further shown because each of these products in each method can be made and used without any one or more of the other products. The products in the different Groups are physically, chemically and biologically distinct from each other, and if patentable would support separate patents. Furthermore, separate search terms would be required for searching the literature, eg. a search of the literature for an association of the MCP-1 mutant (with mutations at 18, 19 and 58) and cancer would not necessarily reveal art for an association of the MCP-1 mutant (with mutations at 18, 19, 58 and 66) and autoimmune disease. Furthermore, a search of the literature with the MCP-1 mutant (with mutations at 18, 19 and 66) and vascular disease would not necessarily reveal art for an association of the MCP-1 mutant (with mutations at 18, 19, 58, and 66) and vascular disease.

The methods of Groups 6-35 are patentably distinct from each other because each method uses different patient populations, different starting materials and has different goals and the search of all methods in one patent application would result in an undue search burden.

4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h).

## Rejoinder under In re Ochiai and In re Brouwer

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,658 Page 8

Art Unit: 1646

See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** 

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## **Advisory Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prema Mertz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00AM to 3:30PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol, can be reached on (571) 272-0835.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (571) 273-8300. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (571) 273-0876.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prema Mertz Ph.D., J.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1646

May 2, 2007